## GUARANTEE FAILURE MATRIX

## This Guarantee Failure Matrix is subject to timely written notice by the Customer (Buyer, Client) to Novomet (Supplier, Seller), scope of warranty and warranty period.

This Guarantee Failure Matrix is subject to the subsurface Goods having been pulled out of hole and Novomet having arrived to the location for the inspection.

Novomet inspects the defected Goods, defines the reason of the defect and allocates such reason either to Novomet or to the Customer, as per below.

Reason of the defect     Custor       Ground Fault Observed     Degradation in insulation due to cable damage during RIH     100       Degradation in insulation of cable/motor due to blowout     100       Failure in case of correct well data     09       ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month     100       ESP is deadheading against a closed valve     100       Evidence of recirculation Ground fault due to unstable power supply     100       No/less Flow to Surface     Evidence of tubing leak ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change     09	1%     0%       1%     0%       6     100%       1%     0%       1%     0%       1%     0%
Observed     Degradation in insulation due to cable damage during RIH     100       Degradation in insulation of cable/motor due to blowout     100       Failure in case of correct well data     09       ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, 1000     1000       but not exceed 5 times per month     ESP is deadheading against a closed valve     1000       Evidence of recirculation     1000     1000       Surface     Evidence of tubing leak     1000       ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change     09	%     0%       6     100%       1%     0%       1%     0%       1%     0%
Degradation in insulation due to cable damage during RIH 100   Degradation in insulation of cable/motor due to blowout 100   Failure in case of correct well data 09   ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month 100   ESP is deadheading against a closed valve 100   Evidence of recirculation Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100   No/less Flow to Surface Evidence of tubing leak ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 09	%     0%       6     100%       1%     0%       1%     0%       1%     0%
Degradation in insulation of cable/motor due to blowout 100   Failure in case of correct well data 09   ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month 100   ESP is deadheading against a closed valve 100   Evidence of recirculation Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100   No/less Flow to Surface Evidence of tubing leak ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 09	%     0%       6     100%       1%     0%       1%     0%       1%     0%
Failure in case of correct well data   09     ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month   100     ESP is deadheading against a closed valve   100     Evidence of recirculation   100     Ground fault due to unstable power supply   100     No/less Flow to   Evidence of tubing leak     ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change   09	6 100% 1% 0% 1% 0%
ESP failure due to multiple stops of the ESP. Number of start-ups which is covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month   100     ESP is deadheading against a closed valve   100     Evidence of recirculation   100     Ground fault due to unstable power supply   100     No/less Flow to   2     Surface   100     Evidence of tubing leak   100     ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change   09	1% 0% 1% 0% 1% 0%
covered by guarantee (supervised by Novomet engineer): 1 time per day, but not exceed 5 times per month 100   ESP is deadheading against a closed valve 100   Evidence of recirculation 100   Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100   No/less Flow to Evidence of tubing leak   Evidence of tubing leak 100   ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 09	9% 0% 9% 0%
but not exceed 5 times per month ESP is deadheading against a closed valve 100 Evidence of recirculation 100 Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100 No/less Flow to Surface Evidence of tubing leak ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 0%	9% 0% 9% 0%
ESP is deadheading against a closed valve 100   Evidence of recirculation 100   Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100   No/less Flow to 100   Surface Evidence of tubing leak   ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 09	% 0%
Evidence of recirculation Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100 100   No/less Flow to Surface Evidence of tubing leak ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 100	% 0%
Ground fault due to unstable power supply 100   No/less Flow to Surface Evidence of tubing leak 100   EsP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change 09	
No/less Flow to Surface     Evidence of tubing leak     100       ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change     09	0/0
Surface     Evidence of tubing leak     100       ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change     0%	
ESP is not capable of delivering at target rate - in case well data provided by the Client is correct and did not change	
by the Client is correct and did not change	% 0%
by the Client is correct and did not change	
	6 100%
Evidence of shaft breakage under normal ESP operation 09	6 100%
Changing Wellbore/reservoir conditions 100	% 0%
Obstruction by sand/ scale 100	% 0%
Broken ESP system even operated at recommended frequency 09	6 100%
Broken ESP system due to Inadmissible bend, in case of well deviation	% 0%
survey wasn't provided from Client	
Pump wear under normal ESP operation 0%	6 100%
Bearing failure 09	6 100%
Wrong rotation 0%	6 100%
Stuck Pump	
ESP stuck on 1st start/commissioning 09	
ESP stuck after evidence of solids / scale production 100	% 0%
ESP stuck in any time after commissioning without any evidence of solid/scale production 09	6 100%
solid/scale production 09	0 100%
Other Failure Modes	
FSP fails as a result of Variable Speed Drive Malfunction/Failure VSD is	
supplied by Client	% 0%
ESP failure case of power plant breakdown or other power plant problems	
(like a steam kickout)	% 0%
Improper material selection for stated well conditions in case of correct	1000
well data	6 100%
Construction problem of ESP system 09	6 100%
Improper controller setpoints made by Novomet personnel 09	6 100%
Improper controller setpoints made by Client personnel 100	% 0%
Improper assembly of ESP system by Novomet field personnel 09	6 100%
Unstable electrical power supply 100	
Cable damage during RIH 100	% 0%
ESP fails as a result of Variable Speed Drive Malfunction/Failure, VSD is	6 100%
supplied by Novomet	. 100%
Damaging of the equipment during delivery from Client warehouse to	0% 0%
well/storage period/load-unload operations.	570
ESP failure in case if initial data was right but during warranty period PI	-
has decreased and pump operation within recommended operating range is 100	0% 0%
no longer possible	
ESP failure as a result of improper action of Client personnel thru remote	0% 0%
monitoring system	
ESP failure as a result of improper action of Novomet personnel thru remote monitoring system	6 100%
In case of ESP lost in hole 100	0% 0%
Client personnel doesn't follow operation manual leading to ESP failure 100	
chem personner doesn't tonow operation manual leading to Esi Tallule 100	.70 070
Novomet personnel doesn't follow operation manual leading to ESP failure	6 100%
1 1 0	6 100%
Pack-off leakage 09	

Once the inspection is performed by Novomet, the Parties shall sign the Act on Defects, stating either (i) the reason of the defect and allocation of this reason under Guarantee Failure Matrix or (ii) in case the reason of the defect could not be found, arrangements for DIFA (dismantle, inspection and failure analysis procedure).

If the Cusomter avoids signing the Act on Defects, with no written reasonable objections sent to Novomet, the Act of Defects shall be deemed to be accepted by the Cusotmer.